

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/670,990	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOYLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeff H. Aftergut	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(2) Charles Guenzer.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 NONE

Claims discussed:  
 38

Prior art documents discussed:  
 NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed a need to recite that the % defined in claim 38 was a weight percent and not an atomic percent. Noted that 12, lines 11-12 of the specification defined the percent as a weight percent. Proposed to amend claim 38 to recite that the percent was a "wt%" instead of an "at%".